

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A7	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,293	03/29/2004		Mark James Batchelor		CELL-0281	2277	
23377 WOODCOCK	7590 06/21/200 WASHBURN LLP	7 .		Г	EXAM	IINER	
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR					RAO, DEEPAK R		
2929 ARCH ST PHILADELPH	TREET IA, PA 19104-2891				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			•		1624		
	,		,	_			
				· L	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
					06/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/812,293	BATCHELOR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Deepak Rao	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinushing and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 / 1. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition o	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,6-8 and 11	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11).	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No. <u>09/596,952</u> . ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		•
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on March 26, 2007.

Claims 1-2, 6-8 and 11 are pending in this application.

Withdrawn Rejections/Objections:

Applicant is notified that any outstanding rejection/objection that is not expressly maintained in this office action has been withdrawn or rendered moot in view of applicant's amendments and/or remarks.

The following rejections are maintained:

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 recites the limitation: "at least one of $-R^4$ and $Alk(R^4)_m$ is a $X^{1a}(Alk^a)_pNR^{7a}R^{7b}$, $X^{1a}(Alk^a)_pNHet^1$, or $X^{1a}(Alk^a)_pNAr^2$ " in lines 2-7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in claims 6 or 7 on which claim 8 is dependent. These terms are not present in any of the preceding claims.

Applicant submits that 'claim 6 recites that R^4 can be a substituted amino group and when read in light of the teachings in the present application, antecedent basis is provided for the terms $X^{1a}(Alk^a)_pNR^{7a}R^{7b}$ and $X^{1a}(Alk^a)_pNHet^1$ '. This is not found to be persuasive.

Art Unit: 1624

The substituents represented by the terms recited in claim 8 appear to be broader than those of claim 6. The instant claim defines X^{1a} to be 'a direct bond or a linker group selected from -C(O)-, -C(S)-, ..., and $-N(R^7)SO_2N(R^7)$ -'. For example, if X^{1a} is $-N(R^7)C(O)O$ -, a representative substituent $-R^4$ or $-Alk(R^4)_m$ according to claim 8 would be $-N(R^7)C(O)O$ - $(Alk^a)_p$ - $NR^{7a}R^{7b}$, wherein R^7 is hydrogen or C_{1-6} alkyl. However, claim 6 does not provide a substituent that is equivalent or a group that encompasses the substituent intended by the various groups in claim 8. Further, see many of the terms recited under R^4 in claim 6 have -NH- group (see e.g., $-NHCOR^5$, $-NHCONH_2$, etc.) and the terms in claim 8 contain $-N(R^7)$ - wherein R^7 is H or alkyl, which is obviously of a broader scope than that provided in claim 6 on which claim 8 is dependent.

(A representative example of the substituent based on the groups provided in claim 8 is discussed above to show that the substituents recited in claim 8 lack antecedent basis in claim 6).

The following rejections are under new grounds:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-2, 6-8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 1624

In evaluating the enablement question, several factors are to be considered. Note *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 and *Ex parte Forman*, 230 USPQ 546. The factors include: 1) The nature of the invention, 2) the state of the prior art, 3) the predictability or lack thereof in the art, 4) the amount of direction or guidance present, 5) the presence or absence of working examples, 6) the breadth of the claims, and 7) the quantity of experimentation needed. The determination that "undue experimentation" would have been needed to make and use the claimed invention is not a single, simple factual determination. Rather, it is a conclusion reached by weighing all the above noted factual considerations.

The specification fails to enable the preparation of the entire scope of the claimed compounds. The process schemes discussed in the specification at pages 24-33 provide the essential starting materials to prepare the claimed compounds of formula (1) as compounds (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), and (7). However, there is no disclosure of the sources of starting materials needed to prepare for the instantly claimed compounds of formula (1) wherein \mathbb{R}^2 is $-\mathbb{X}^1$ - \mathbb{R}^3 wherein \mathbb{X}^1 is a linker group selected from $-\mathbb{C}(O)$ -, $-\mathbb{C}(S)$ -, $-\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{R}^7)SO_2\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{R}^7)$ -. All the examples in the specification are drawn to compounds wherein \mathbb{R}^2 is $-\mathbb{X}^1$ - \mathbb{R}^3 wherein \mathbb{X}^1 is a direct bond. The specification does not provide guidance of the starting materials required to prepare the compounds wherein \mathbb{X}^1 is a linker group as provided in the claims. The specification does not provide any examples of the compounds wherein the pyrimidine and the R3 substituent are separated by a linker group X1 as defined in the claims.

In view of the lack of direction provided in the specification regarding starting materials, the lack of working examples and the general unpredictability of chemical reactions, it would take an undue amount of experimentation for one skilled in the art to make the claimed

Art Unit: 1624

compounds and therefore practice the invention. The starting material sources necessary to obtain the instant compounds must have been available as of the filing date in order to provide an enabling disclosure. See *In re Howarth*, 654 F.2d 103, 210 USPQ 689 (CCPA 1981); *Ex parte Moersch*, 104 USPQ 122 (POBA 1954). Applicants should show that the sources of these starting materials was common knowledge or readily available at the time of filing.

Note

Applicant's attention is directed to U.S. Patent No. **6,908,920** which while is not a competent reference against the instantly claimed invention, claims subject matter that is substantially similar to that claimed herein. Particularly, the instant claims include a compound of formula (1) wherein R² can be -X¹-R³ wherein X¹ is -N(C₁₋₆ alkyl)-, etc. Unless applicants can demonstrate that the instant claims are patentably distinct from the claims in this US patent, the only way to overcome these patents is by way of interference proceedings or removal of the conflicting subject matter. See MPEP § 2306.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deepak Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0672. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,293 Page 6

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deepak Rao Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

June 6, 2007